DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PERMIT (DR)





DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES 10601 Magnolia Avenue, Santee, CA 92071 (619) 258-4100, Ext 167

THIS PACKAGE PROVIDES AN OVERIEW OF THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PERMIT PROCESS FOLLOWED BY THE SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS AND APPLICATION FORMS

PURPOSE:

The Development Review (DR) application process is designed to encourage site and architectural development, which exemplify the best professional design practices. The process is designed to enhance the residential and business areas of the city by developing property in a manner which respects the physical and environmental characteristics of each site. The Development Review process helps ensure that each new project achieves the intent and purpose of the General Plan land use designation and the zone in which the property is located.

PROJECTS SUBJECT TO DEVELOPMENT REVIEW:

Projects subject to the Development Review process following two distinct review paths depending upon the scope of the project. Generally, the City Council reviews larger projects during a noticed public hearing. Other projects are reviewed by the Director of Development Services Department. A summary of the two review processes are listed below:

Development Services Director Review

City Council Review

| New construction on vacant property. | Any multifamily residential project. |
|---|--|
| One or more structural additions or new buildings, either with a total floor area of one thousand square feet or more. | Any single-family residential project where a tentative parcel or tentative subdivision map is required. |
| Secondary dwelling unit development in the hillside overlay zone. | The conversion of residential, commercial or industrial buildings to condominiums. |
| Reconstruction or alteration of existing buildings on sites when the alteration significantly affects the exterior appearance of the building or traffic circulation of the site. | Commercial or industrial projects which contain over fifty thousand square feet of building floor area. |
| 5. Development in the Hillside Overlay zone. | |

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS:

The following items should be evaluated when designing a project:

- Relationship of building and site to surrounding area
 - Evaluate the project's fringe effects of adjacent parcels.
 - ☑ Evaluate the project's proximity to transportation (including active)facilities.
 - ✓ Evaluate the projects relationship
- Site Design
 - Setbacks
 - Evaluate building placement for adequate ventilation.
 - ☑ Consider topography and other on-site natural features in the design.
 - ☑ Evaluate pedestrian and vehicle circulation.
- Landscaping
 - ☑ Chose plant palette to ensure Water efficient
 - ☑ Approved street trees
- Grading
 - Minimize proposed grading.
- Signs
 - ☑ On elevations show proposed commercial signage areas.
 - On site plan plot all proposed free-standing signs.
 - Provide details of all free standing signs.
- Lighting
 - Provide sufficient lighting for the proposed use.
 - ☑ Keep all site lighting facing downward to minimize impacts on neighbors.
- Architectural design
 - ☑ Visual relief from long elevations.
 - Use of colors and materials to create interest
 - ✓ Variations in setbacks to reduce mass of larger buildings.

PROCESS:

Pre-application (only for items for City Council review)

Projects which require a public hearing before the City Council must process a Pre-Application. The Pre-Application process is designed to identify those issues which may impact the design of the project early in the approval process. The applicant would submit the attached application and as many of the supporting documents as possible and pay the Pre-Application <u>fee</u>. The more project details provided, then the better review that can be conducted.

Engineering and Planning staff will review the submitted documents and will provide input on possible environmental concerns, General Plan and zoning requirements, traffic, site and design criteria. This early identification of issues will limit possible delays and plan revisions. Approximately four (4) weeks from the date of submittal a pre-application meeting ("Design Conference") will be held at City Hall. This meeting is an opportunity for the applicant to discuss comments with representatives of the Departments of Development Services, and Fire. The written comments from City staff and discussion at this meeting will be encapsulated in a letter to the applicant.

9/2016 ii

Application

After the pre-application meeting ("Design Conference") the applicant can redesign the project to incorporate any changes that may have been requested or required by City staff. An appointment shall then be made with the assigned Project Planner, prior to formal submittal. The applicant should submit the completed formal application packet to the Department of Development Services. The Project Planner will review the materials to ensure that all of the required information is submitted. This completeness check shall be completed within 30 days of project submittal.

Project and Environmental Review

All environmental documents for submitted projects will be prepared by consultants hired by the applicant. The City of Santee will not prepare Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Environmental Impact Reports for submitted projects. After the application is submitted to the Department of Development Services, the site plans will be sent to the appropriate public agencies involved in the project for their review and comment. Once the proposed project has been deemed complete and after the completion of an Environmental Initial Study, an environmental determination will be made.

If City staff determines that no significant environmental impacts are anticipated, staff will direct the applicant to retain a consultant to prepare a Negative Declaration or Mitigated Negative Declaration. If City staff determines that the project will have a significant environmental impact, staff will direct the applicant to retain a consultant to prepare an EIR, which will be prepared and circulated for public review and comment.

City Council Hearing (only required for City Council review items)

Once the project is deemed complete, all issues addressed, and the environmental public review process has been completed, the Project Planner will schedule item for a hearing before the City Council. The City Council will consider the recommendation of the Department of Development Services and will, at a public hearing, 1) consider the CEQA determinations and 2) either approve the project as submitted, approve with modification(s), deny the project, or continue the item.

Post Entitlement

If the project is approved, the applicant shall pay a document filing fee to the County Clerk and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife fee based on their level of CEQA review (State Law - AB3158). Contact the California Department of Fish and Wildlife for current fees (www.wildlife.ca.gov).

The applicant shall also schedule a *Post-Entitlement meeting* with the Project Planner. The goal of the meeting is to ensure that all parties understand the conditions of approval, the expected project timeline, and the follow-on permitting process.

Note: Contact Santee Elementary School District at (619) 258-2320 and Grossmont Union High School District at (619) 644-8000 for their requirements.

SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

| 1. | Application: | | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | a Completed and signed Development Review Permit Application Form | | | | | |
| | b | Environmental Information Form | | | | |
| | C. | Sewer & Water Availability Forms (signed by Padre Dam Municipal Water District) | | | | |

9/2016 iii

| | | Print forms at http://www.padredam.org/242/Development-Services |
|----|----------------|--|
| | d | Storm Water Intake Form http://www.cityofsanteeca.gov/index.aspx?page=585 |
| | e | Hazardous Waste Statement |
| | f | Ownership Disclosure Statement |
| 2. | <u>Plans</u> : | (8 copies collated, stapled and folded in sets to 8½ " X 11" size and 2 sets 11" x 17") |
| | b | Site plan showing all existing easements on-site and all structures, uses, and driveways within 100 feet of the subject property Building elevations (with callouts showing building materials and colors to be used) Preliminary landscape plan |
| | d | Preliminary grading plan (showing all cut and fill areas, pad elevations, slope heights, and retaining walls) and improvement plan |
| | e | Floor plan |
| | f | PDF copy of plans on a disk/thumb drive |
| 3. | Title R | <u>Leport</u> Three (3) copies of current (not more than six months old) Preliminary Title Report and Grant Deed. The Preliminary Title Report shall have a copy of each easement |
| | | referenced in the report. |
| 4. | <u>Techn</u> | ical Studies / Special Exhibits (as required - 3 copies of each) |
| | a | Geotechnical report |
| | b | Drainage study |
| | C | Storm Water Quality Management Plan |
| | d | Preliminary Biology Report prepared by a certified Biologist |
| | e | Traffic Impact Study |
| | f | Hydraulic Analysis prepared in accordance with the City of Santee Flood Study (This is only for projects that are located within the floodplain). Please contact the Engineering Division at (619) 258-4100 x168 for questions regarding the Hydraulic Analysis. |
| | g | Any other technical studies, documents, etc. as requested by the Department of Development Services. |
| | h | A PDF copy of all technical reports on a disk/thumb drive. |
| | i | Color and materials board (one 11" x 17") showing samples and manufacturer's callouts of building materials. |
| | | |

4. Public Notice Package (only required for City Council review items)

a. One (1) set of San Diego County Assessor's map(s), prepared by a Title Company, marked-up showing the entire property outlined in red with a green line encircling the property at a distance of 300 feet from the property line. Each parcel lying wholly or in-part within the 300 feet shall have its Assessor's number colored yellow with a "highlighter"

9/2016 iv

pen or yellow colored pencil. Assessor's maps must be 11" x 17" (full size) at true scale and not reduced.

- b. Two (2) sets of mailing labels and one (1) copy of mailing labels in the standard mailing address format (Avery Template 5360). The list of labels shall be prepared by a Title Company. The typed list must include all affected property owner's parcels highlighted in yellow as above; by Assessor's Parcel Number with names and address. The addresses must be the property owner and not the leaser or renter. In addition, the mailing list shall include the name and address of the subject property owner, applicant, and the agent or individual responsible for the request.
- c. Two (2) sets of stamps (current postage) for each property owner on the mailing list (no envelopes). Following the required public noticing, any remaining stamps will be returned to the applicant.

- a. ____ Development Review Permit Fee
- b. ____ Application for Environmental Initial Study Fee

9/2016 V

Site Location:



FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

Department of Development Services
10601 Magnolia Avenue, Santee, CA 92071-1222
(619) 258-4100, Extension 167

| Assessor Parcel Number(s): | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. Applicant | 2. Property Owner | | | |
| Name: | Name: | | | |
| Address: | Address: | | | |
| Phone: | | | | |
| Email: | Signature: | | | |
| Signature: | | | | |
| Print Name: | Print Name: | | | |
| 3. Applicant's Representative | 4. Engineer | | | |
| Name: | Name: | | | |
| Address: | Address: | | | |
| | | | | |
| Phone: | Phone: | | | |
| Email: | Email: | | | |
| Signature: | Signature: | | | |
| Print Name: | Print name: | | | |

| 5. Pa | arcel Size (Acres): | 6. | Building Size (sq. ft.): |
|----------------|---|---------|---|
| 7. Ex | kisting Land Use: | | |
| 8 . Ge | eneral Plan Land Use Designation: | 9. | Zone Designation: |
| 10. F | For Residential Projects: | | |
| A. B. C. | Number of Units Proposed Number of Lots Proposed Project Density Proposed | | |
| 11. | DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED USE | | |
| | Describe below, or on an attached sheet if more s operation, the nature and type of buildings, structutypes of services to be provided. | ires an | d other facilities to be used and the |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | APPLICANT'S STATEMENTS | S OF J | USTIFICATION |
| findin | ings: Before approving a Development Review Pengs that the circumstances prescribed below do apain how your project meets the below findings: | ply. O | e City Council shall make certain na separate sheet of paper, |
| 1 | . The proposed development meets the purpose procedures and other pertinent sections of the z | | |
| 2 | 2. The proposed development is compatible with the | he gen | eral plan. |
| | e undersigned, under penalty of perjury, claim the in cation is correct to the best of my knowledge. | nformat | tion contained within this |
| | Signe | ed: | (applicant) |
| | Please Print Na | ame. | (аррпоатт) |

CITY OF SANTEE ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION FORM

| Project Title: | | |
|---|--|---|
| | | |
| Proposed Use of t | ne Site: | |
| Project Location: | | |
| Project APN(s): | | |
| Applicant | | Property Owner |
| Name: | | Name: |
| Address: | | Address: |
| City, State, ZIP: | | |
| | | Telephone: |
| Description of Pro project, and any se | ject: Describe the condary, support, o | whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the r off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional an and vicinity map in 8 ½" X 11" format. |
| Description of Proproject, and any sesheet(s) if necessar | ject: Describe the condary, support, o y. Attach a site pla | r off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional an and vicinity map in 8 ½" X 11" format. |
| Description of Proproject, and any sesheet(s) if necessar Existing General F | ject: Describe the condary, support, o y. Attach a site plant of the p | r off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional an and vicinity map in 8 ½" X 11" format. 8. Existing Zoning: ently served by the following?) |
| Description of Proproject, and any sets sheet(s) if necessal Existing General F | ject: Describe the condary, support, o y. Attach a site plane plan | r off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional an and vicinity map in 8 ½" X 11" format. |
| Description of Proproject, and any sesheet(s) if necessariant Existing General F Existing Condition Paved Road Water Services | ject: Describe the condary, support, o y. Attach a site plane of the condary support of years. Plan Designation: S: (Is the site current of yes of | r off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional an and vicinity map in 8 ½" X 11" format. |
| project, and any seasheet(s) if necessar Existing General F Existing Condition Paved Road Water Services Sewer Services | ject: Describe the condary, support, o y. Attach a site plane plan | r off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional an and vicinity map in 8 ½" X 11" format. |
| Description of Proproject, and any sesheet(s) if necessariant Existing General F Existing Condition Paved Road Water Services | ject: Describe the condary, support, o y. Attach a site plane plan | r off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional an and vicinity map in 8 ½" X 11" format. |

| | Safety Zone (Exhibit III-2): | | Noise Contour (Exhibit III-1): | |
|-------|---|-----------------------|--|------------|
| | ☐ 1 | | < 60dB CNEL | |
| | <u>□</u> 2 | | 60-65dB CNEL | |
| | ∐ 3 | | ☐ 65-70dB CNEL | |
| | <u> </u> | | 70-75 dB CNEL | |
| | <u> </u> | | 75+dB CNEL | |
| | ☐ 6 □ None | | | |
| | None | | | |
| | Avigation Easement Area (Exh | nibit III-6): | FAA Height Notification Boundary (Exhibit III-3): | |
| | ☐ Yes | | ☐ Yes | |
| | □ No | | □ No | |
| | The entire Gillespie Field plan ca | an be download fro | om: | |
| | http://www.san.org/Airport-Projects/Lan | d-Use-Compatibility#1 | <u>18076-alucps</u> | |
| 12. | Other public agencies whose an | proval is required | (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreemen | . + |
| 12. | including those required by local | | | π, |
| | | ,, | and the second of the second o | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 13. | TOPOGRAPHY: Describe the ex | kisting topography | of the site. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 14. | WILL GRADING BE REQUIRE | D ? ☐ Yes | □No | |
| | | | | |
| | CUT (CU/YDS): I | FILL(CU/YDS): _ | PERCENT OF LOT GRADED: | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CER | TIFICATION: I hereby certif | v that the state | ements furnished above and in the attached exh | ihits |
| | | | is initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and | |
| | | | d are true and correct to the best of my knowle | |
| | pelief. | allon procento | a are true and correct to the boot of my knowle | ago |
| ana k | ochor. | | | |
| | | | | |
| Date | e: | | | |
| | Appl | icant Signature | ! | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | For (| Name of the P | roperty Owner) | |

ATTACHMENT ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS, AS NEEDED, TO FULLY EXPLAIN ANY OF THE ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

| | environmental factors cheotentially Significant Impac | | | | | this project, involving at least one impact that is ring pages. |
|------|---|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|---|
| | Aesthetics | | Agriculture/Foresti Resources | ry | | Air Quality |
| | Biological Resources | | Cultural Resource | S | | Geology / Soils |
| | Greenhouse Gas Emissions | | Hazards & Hazard Materials | lous | | Hydrology / Water Quality |
| | Land Use / Planning | | Mineral Resources | 3 | | Noise |
| | Population / Housing | | Public Services | | | Recreation |
| | Transportation / Traffic | | Utilities / Service S | Systems | | Mandatory Findings of Significance |
| I.AE | STHETICS - Would the pr | oject: | | | | |
| a) H | ave a substantial adverse | effect | on scenic vista? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant | Impac | t | Less than | n Sign | ificant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant | Impac | et | ☐ No Impa | ct | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| | ubstantially damage scenic a scenic highway? | c resou | urces, including, bu | t not limited t | o tree | es, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings with |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | | | Less than | n Sign | ificant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | | | ☐ No Impa | ct | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| c) S | ubstantially degrade the ex | isting | visual character or | quality of the | site a | and its surroundings? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant I | mpact | | Less than | n Sign | ificant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | | t | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| d) C | reate a new source of subs | stantia | I light or glare which | n would adve | rsely | affect day or nighttime views in the area? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant I | mpact | | ☐Less than | n Sign | ificant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant | Impact | t | ☐ No Impa | ct | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |

II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES – In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest protocols adopted by the California Air Resource Board – Would the project:

| a) | | , or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as snown on the maps ng and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non- | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | | |
| b) | Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural of | use, or a Williamson Act contract? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | | |
| c) | | rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section blic Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland e section 51104(g))? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | | |
| d) | Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | | |
| e) | Involve other changes in the existing environments for a non-agricultural use or converse. | onment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of sion of forest land to non-forest use? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | | |
| | | icance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air ake the following determinations. Would the project: | | | | | |
| a) | Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the | ne applicable air quality plan? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | | |
| b) | Violate any air quality standard or contribute | e substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | | |

| C) | | et increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- r state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which recursors)? | | | |
|-----|---|---|--|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| d) | Expose sensitive receptors to substantial p | ollutant concentrations? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| e) | Create objectionable odors affecting a subs | stantial number of people? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| IV. | BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the p | project: | | | |
| a) | | directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a cies in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California and Wildlife Service? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| ĺ | | riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| c) | Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Ac (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption or other means? | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| d) | | of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established rs, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |

| e) | Conflict with any local policies or ordinand ordinance? | ces protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| f) | Conflict with the provisions of an adopted I approved local, regional, or state habitat co | Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other onservation plan? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| ۷. (| CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the pro | ject: | | | |
| a) | Cause a substantial adverse change in the | significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| b) | Cause a substantial adverse change in the | significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| c) | Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paled | ontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| d) | Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries? | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| e) | Cause a substantial adverse change in the Code §21074? | e significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource as defined in Public Resources | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| VI. | GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project | ot: | | | |

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:

| e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? | | |
|---|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| VII. | GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS Would the p | roject: |
| a) | Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either denvironment? | irectly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emission of greenhouse gases? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| VIII | . HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS V | Vould the project: |
| a) | Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal o hazardous materials? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| c) | Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| d) | Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Cod section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |

| e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or work project area? | | |
|---|--|---|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| f) | For a project within the vicinity of a private working in the project area? | airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| g) | Impair implementation of or physically interfible. | fere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| h) | Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| IX. | HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY W | ould the project: |
| a) | Violate any water quality standards or waste | e discharge requirements? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| c) | | tern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a sult in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |

| d) | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result if flooding on- or off-site? | |
|--|--|---|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: | ☐ No Impact |
| e) | Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact☐ Less Than Significant ImpactDiscussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated☐ No Impact |
| f) | Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact ☐ Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated☐ No Impact |
| g) | Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact ☐ Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| h) | Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death in of the failure of a levee or dam? | | oss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| j) | Expose people or structures to inundation by seiche | e, tsunami, or mudflow? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| X. I | LAND USE AND PLANNING Would the project: | |
| a) | Physically divide an established community? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |

| Ар | Application for Development Review Permit | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: | ☐ No Impact | | |
| b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) at the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? | | cific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | |
| c) | Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation pla | n or natural community conservation plan? | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | |
| XI. | MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project: | | | |
| a) | Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral the state? | resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | |
| b) | Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | |
| | Less Than Significant | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | |
| XII. | NOISE Would the project result in: | | | |
| a) | Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan on noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | |
| b) | Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | |
| c) | A substantial permanent increase in ambient nois project? | se levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | |

| App | lication for Development Review Permit | |
|--|--|--|
| | ☐ Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: | ☐ No Impact |
| d) | A substantial temporary or periodic increase in amb the project? | ient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing withou |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| e) | For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| f) | For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, area to excessive noise levels? | would the project expose people residing or working in the project |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| XIII | . POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project | pt: |
| a) | Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of road or other infrastructure)? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replace | | ecessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| c) | Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| ΧIV | PUBLIC SERVICES Would the project: | |

a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

| i. Fire Protection?ii. Police Protection?iii. Schools?iv. Parks?v. Other Public Facilities? | |
|--|---|
| ☐ Potentially Significant Impact☐ Less Than Significant ImpactDiscussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| RECREATION - | |
| Would the project increase the use of existing neithat substantial physical deterioration of the facility | ighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such would occur or be accelerated? |
| ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| Discussion: | |
| Does the project include recreational facilities or rehave an adverse physical effect on the environment | equire the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which |
| ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| Discussion: | |
| . TRANSPORTATION / TRAFFIC Would the proj | ect: |
| circulation system, taking into account all modes of | y establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the transportation and including mass transit and non-motorized traven, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and transit? |
| ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| Discussion: | |
| | |
| | nt program, including, but not limited to, level of service standards s established by the county congestion management agency for |
| and travel demand measures, or other standards | |
| and travel demand measures, or other standards designated roads or highways? | s established by the county congestion management agency for |
| and travel demand measures, or other standards designated roads or highways? Description of the standards designated roads or highways? | s established by the county congestion management agency for Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| and travel demand measures, or other standards designated roads or highways? Description: Discussion: | s established by the county congestion management agency for Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| and travel demand measures, or other standards designated roads or highways? Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including e | s established by the county congestion management agency for Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated No Impact |
| and travel demand measures, or other standards designated roads or highways? Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including ein substantial safety risks? | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated No Impact wither an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results |
| | iii. Police Protection? iii. Schools? iv. Parks? v. Other Public Facilities? Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: RECREATION — Would the project increase the use of existing ne that substantial physical deterioration of the facility Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: Does the project include recreational facilities or rehave an adverse physical effect on the environment Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: TRANSPORTATION / TRAFFIC Would the project include plan, ordinance or policy circulation system, taking into account all modes of and relevant components of the circulation system freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact |

| d) | Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | |
| | Discussion: | | |
| e) | Result in inadequate emergency access? | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | |
| | Discussion: | | |
| f) | Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities? | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | |
| | Discussion: | | |
| ΧV | II. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Wo | ould the project: | |
| a) | Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | |
| | Discussion: | | |
| b) | Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | |
| | Discussion: | | |
| c) | Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | |
| | Discussion: | | |
| d) | Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new expanded entitlements needed? In making this determination, the Lead Agency shall consider whether the project subject to the water supply assessment requirements of Water Code Section 10910, et. seq. (SB 610), and the requirements of Government Code Section 66473.7 (SB 221). | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | |
| | Discussion: | | |

| e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing | | , |
|--|--|---|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| f) | Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| g) | Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| XIX | . MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE | _ |
| a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threate or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endange eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? | | ation to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant umber or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| c) | Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current project, and the effects of probable future projects.) | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| d) | Does the project have environmental effects w directly or indirectly? | hich will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |

HAZARDOUS WASTE STATEMENT CITY OF SANTEE

| Application | Number: |
|--------------------|---------|
| | |

Section 65962.5(f) of the State of California Government Code requires that prior to the City of Santee accepting a development application; the applicant shall submit a signed statement indicating whether or not the project site has been identified as a hazardous waste or cleanup site.

Check the following sites for information:

1) California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC):

http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/

2) State Water Resources Control Board, Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA):

http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/gama/

3) State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), Water Data Library (WDL):

http://www.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary/

| PROJECT SITE INFORMATION | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| PROJECT ADDRESS: | ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER (APN): | | |
| IS THE PROJECT SITE AS A HAZARDOUS V | WASTE OR CLEAN UP SITE: | | |
| DTSC: YES NO CASE FI | LE: DATE OF LIST: | | |
| GAMA: YES NO CASE FI | LE: DATE OF LIST: | | |
| SWQCB WDL: YES NO CASE FI | LE: DATE OF LIST: | | |
| APPLICANT'S NAME/ADRESS: | PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME ADDRESS: | | |
| APPLICANT'S NAME/ADRESS: | PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME ADDRESS: | | |
| APPPLICANT'S PHONE / EMAIL: | | | |
| APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE | DATE SIGNED: | | |



City of Santee Development Services Dept. 10601 Magnolia Avenue Santee, CA 92071-1222 (619) 258-4100)

OWNERSHIP DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

| Project Title: | Project No. For City Use Only |
|---|--|
| Project Address: | |
| Legal Status (please check): | |
| ☐ Corporation (☐ Limited Liability –or-☐ Corporate Identification No.: | General) What State? |
| Partnership (list names below) | Individual |
| (Type or Print Name of Partner) | (Type or Print Name of Partner) |
| (Type or Print Name of Partner) | (Type or Print Name of Partner) |
| from the permit, all individuals, all corporat property). Note: The applicant is responsible for noti during the time the application is being produced. | property interest (e.g., tenants, if known, who will benefit the officers, and all partners in partnership who own the difying the Project Manager of any changes in ownership cessed or considered. Changes in ownership are to be any prior to any public hearing on the subject property. |
| Name (type or print): | Name (type or print): |
| Title/Property Interest (type or print): | Title/Property Interest (type or print) |
| Street Address: | Street Address: |
| City/State/Zip: | City/State Zip: |
| Phone No.: Fax No.: | Phone No.: Fax No.: |
| Signature: | Signature: |
| | |

9/2016 19